Principle of Justification

Session 4: Existing exposure situations

Mika Markkanen





- Justification in existing exposure situations (as presented in current ICRP recommendations)
- Example scenarios
- Examples of factors to be taken into account
- Questions for discussion



Publication 103: The 2007 Recommendations

- "The principle of justification: Any decision that alters the radiation exposure situation should do more good than harm."
- "This means that, by introducing a new radiation source, <u>by reducing existing exposure</u>, or by reducing the risk of potential exposure, one <u>should achieve sufficient individual or</u> <u>societal benefit to offset the detriment it causes</u>." (Paragraph 203)
- "..., the principle of justification is applied in <u>making the decision as to whether to take</u> <u>action to avert further exposure</u>. Any <u>decision taken to reduce doses</u>, which always have some disadvantages, should be justified in the sense that they should do more good <u>than harm</u>." (Parahraph 207)



• Publication 126: Radiological Protection Against Radon Exposure

- "... the principle of justification is applied in making the <u>decision regarding whether</u> or not a protection strategy against radon exposure is implemented.)".
- "The characterization of the situation, such as the assessment of radon concentrations and the identification of radon-prone areas, as well as <u>considerations</u> <u>about public health priorities and social and economic factors</u>, are necessary for national authorities to determine <u>whether or not a radon protection strategy is</u> <u>justified in a country</u>.

(Paragraph 64)



 Publication 132: Radiological Protection from Cosmic Radiation in Aviation

• "... the principle of justification is applied in aviation in making the decision about whether to implement a protection strategy against exposure to cosmic radiation."

(Paragraph 44)



- Publication 142: Radiological Protection from NORM in Industrial Processes
 - "...the principle of justification is primarily applied in industries involving NORM when deciding <u>whether to implement a protection strategy</u> for radiation exposures." (Paragraph 47)
 - "For industries involving NORM on the national list, <u>when a new process using NORM is</u> to be implemented, the principle of justification should be applied in the same way as for ongoing processes (i.e. primarily when deciding whether to implement a protection strategy for radiation exposures)."
 - "Industrial processes will usually produce significant economic and social benefits, and the radiological risks involved are <u>unlikely to result in a decision that the NORM process</u>, <u>as a whole, would need to be considered unjustified</u>. Exceptions can be dealt with on a case-by case basis." (Paragraph 51)



 Publication 146: Radiological Protection of People and the Environment in the Event of Large Nuclear Accidents

- Justification is part of radiological protection which is not just about avoiding or reducing exposure, but may <u>also encompass non-radiological health effects, and societal, economic, and environmental considerations. (Paragraph 52)</u>
- Justification is in accordance with <u>the overall ethical goal of societies</u>, which is to contribute to <u>the well-being of individuals</u>, <u>the quality of life of affected</u> <u>communities</u>, and <u>the preservation of the quality of the environment for future</u> <u>generations</u>. (Paragraph 52)
- Past experience has demonstrated <u>the importance and benefit of involving</u> <u>stakeholders in these decisions</u>, particularly representatives of local authorities, professionals, and inhabitants of affected communities, to improve the decisionmaking process. (Paragraph 57)



Example scenarios

• Existing exposure situations caused by radiological emergencies

- Clean up of contaminated premises or areas
- Access or use restrictions for contaminated premises or areas
- Recycle or reuse of contaminated objects, materials and waste
- Use of contaminated foodstuff
- Legacies from previous practices
 - Remediation of legacy mining or milling site
 - Use of premises with remaining contamination from discontinued or legacy practice



Example scenarios

- Radon in dwellings and workplaces
- Natural radioactivity in
 - drinking water;
 - building materials, and
 - other commodities
- NORM-industries
- Cosmic radiation in aviation
- Areas with high natural background radiation



Examples of factors to be taken into account

- Radiation exposure of individuals before and after remedial measures
 - Occupational (remediation workers) and public exposure (affected population)
- Public health priorities
- Fairness in the distribution of advantages and disadvantages, including future generations
- Fear of radiation, stress, impact on mental health
- Disturbance to normal life and uncertainty of future
- Costs and available resources and infrastructure (e.g. for waste management)



Key questions for discussion

- What scenarios require in-depth consideration?
- What factors need to be taken into account in the relevant scenarios?
- How can experts and stakeholders be involved in the justification process?
- What guidance would be helpful to improve the application of the justification principle?



www.icrp.org

