TG 36: dose coefficients for radiopharmaceuticals

Makoto Hosono, MD, PhD Kindai University, Osaka, Japan

Challenges of Radiological Protection in Research and Society referring to Medical Field, Oct 3, 2024, Milan Sala Napoleonica/Via Sant'Antonio, 12 Università di Milano



Federal Office for Radiation Protection



Terms of Reference

Mandate:

Objective: to develop dose coefficients for radiopharmaceuticals administered to patients in diagnostic nuclear medicine.

Main tasks:

- to develop biokinetic models for new substances and to improve current models where needed, aiming towards harmonization with models developed by TG95 (IDC)
- to develop a computer code (IDAC 2.1) implementing the new dosimetric and biokinetic models (QA with BfS code DOSAGE)
- Electronic radiopharmaceutical dose viewer
- to update Publication 128 (2015) using new biokinetic models (if available), new ICRP adult and paediatric reference voxel phantoms, Publication 107 nuclear decay data and Publication 103 dosimetry methodology
- Guidance documents on the collection of data from clinical studies needed for modelling and on the use of the revision of Publication 128



TG36 Membership

Chair: Augusto Giussani, Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS), Germany **Honourary Co-Chair**: Sören Mattsson, Skåne University Hospital Malmö and Lund University, Sweden **Secretary**: Martin Andersson, Gothenburg University, Sweden

Members

Makoto Hosono, Kindai University, Japan Derek Jockish, Francis Marion University, USA Alexandra Kamp, Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS), Germany Keon Kang, Seoul National University, Korea Sigrid Leide-Svegborn, Skåne University Hospital Malmö, Sweden Dietmar Nosske, Germany Nina Petoussi-Henss, Helmholtz Munich, Germany Juan Camilo Ocampo Ramos, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, USA Kuangyu Shi, University of Bern, Switzerland Lars Söderberg, Skåne University Hospital, Sweden Technical secretary: Franklin Eze, Cyclomedical International, Nigeria



Wesley E. Bolch, University of Florida, USA Katrine Riklund, Umeå, Sweden Marie Sydoff, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

TG36 activities

Monthly webmeetings: Every 1-3 months

In-person meetings: Malme October 2019 Munich October 2022 Vienna September 2023



September 2023, IAEA, Vienna



Revision of Publication 128

- Text has been harmonized as far as possible with text of publication on public exposures.
- The work with the update biokinetic models of radiopharmaceuticals (Transition towards fully compartmental models)
- Dynamic bladder model
- Model for the cerebrospinal fluid space
- Brief chapter on dose to embryo and fetus
- Update/Harmonization on breast feeding
- Guidance on extravasation
- About 100 substances





Dissemination of TG-36 results

Submitted manuscript

A.Kamp, M.Andersson, S.Leide-Svegborn, D.Noβke, S.Mattsson, A.Giussani. A revised compartmental model for biokinetics and dosimetry of ¹⁸F-FDG. Submitted to EJNMMI Physics

Congress presentations

- M.Andersson, A.Giussani, S.Mattsson, L.Johansson: *Age dependent dynamic absorbed dose calculations to the urinary bladder wall for ICRP compartmental models of radiopharmaceuticals.* **ICRP2019**, Adelaide, Australia, 17.-21.11.2019.
- M.Andersson, A.Kamp, D.Noβke, S.Mattsson, A.Giussani: *A revised compartmental model for biokinetics and dosimetry of*¹⁸*F-FDG*. **EANM21**, Virtual, 20.-23.10.2021.
- A.Giussani. Die Aktualisierung der Dosiskoeffizienten der ICRP für diagnostische Anwendungen in der Nuklearmedizin. Nuklearmedizin 2022, Leipzig, Germany, 27.-30.4.2022.

A.Giussani. lodine model - an update - EURADOS Annual Meeting 2022, Belgrade, Serbia, 21.6.2022

A.Giussani, M.Andersson, M.Hosono, A.Kamp, K.W.Kang, S.Mattsson, D.Nosske, J.C.Ocampo-Ramos, N.Petoussi-Henss. *Quality Assurance of the revised ICRP dose coefficients to patients from diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals*. European Radiation Protection Week 2022, Estoril, Portugal, 9.-14.10.2022.

A.Kamp, M.Andersson, A.Giussani, M.Hosono, K.W.Kang, S.Leide-Svegborn, S.Mattsson, D.Nosske, J.C.Ocampo-Ramos, N.Petoussi-Henss, L.Söderberg: *Revision of the reference biokinetic models for Cosimetry in diagnostic nuclear medicine*. **EANM22**, Barcelona, Spain, 15.-19.10.2022 (e-Poster).

Biokinetics: New model for FDG



Urinary bladder contents

In the revised model, the presence of blood as a central compartment, that is,

after an intravenous injection, transfers 2-[¹⁸F]FDG to other body organs and tissues,

and the inclusion of pancreas and spleen as source regions, which were not considered in Pub 128

The revised model of ¹⁸F-FDG

Revised model



Time-activity curves (TAC) in source regions predicted by the proposed biokinetic model along with the experimental data

(scattered points).



Ref: Andersson et al., EANM Congress 2021

Kamp et., A revised compartmental model for biokinetics and dosimetry of ¹⁸F-FDG, submitted EJNMMI Physics

Dynamic urinary bladder contents.

Dynamic bladder model

For the urinary excretion a dynamic urinary bladder model is assumed. Assumptions for reference individuals: Bladder is emptied at discrete steps. Possibility to simulate different emptying schemes

- depending on investigation protocols
- day/night

Flowrate can be increased to account for forced hydration.



Table 2.41. Reference values for daily urinary excretion (Section 8.3.2)

	Excretio	Excretion (ml/day)			
Age	Male	Female			
Newborn	300	300			
1 year	400	400			
5 years	500	500			
10 years	700	700			
15 years	1200	1200			
Adult	1600	1200			

Voiding periods in ICRP Publication 128

Age (years)	Adult	15 years	10 years	5 years	1 year	Infant
Voiding period (h)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	2.0	2.0

Presentation of data

Name of the radiopharmaceutical (template)

xx.1. Biokinetic information

(A.1) Text describing the major biokinetic features of the radiopharmaceutical, including summary of the scientific literature (it will be in most case an adaptation of the current text).,

x.2 Biokinetic model

From	To	Value (h ⁻¹)
Blood 1	Blood 2	1.2E-04
Blood 1	Lungs	5.6E-07
Blood 1	Liver	8.9E-01
Blood 1	Heart Wall 1	2.3E-04
Lung	Blood 1	
Liver	Blood 1	
Heart Wall 1	Blood 1	
Heart Wall 1	Heart Wall 2	



Table xx.2. - Dose coefficients for "Radiopharmaceutical"

I-123 mIBG

(13.27 HOURS)

Absorbed dose in mGy/MBg

	Ad	ults	15 3	ears	10 3	ears	5 <u>y</u>	ears,	l <u>xear</u>		
Organs	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Eemale	
Adrenals,	6.9E-02	9.6E-02	6.1E-02	7.0E-02	9.5E-02	9.5E-02	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	2.2E-01	2.2E-01	
Brain	1.5E-02	1.7E-02	2.8E-02	2.9E-02	4.2E-02	4.2E-02	6.1E-02	6.2E-02	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	
Breast.	2.1E-02	2.5E-02	2.4E-02	2.6E-02	3.3E-02	3.3E-02	6.0E-02	6.0E-02	9.8E-02	9.8E-02	
Colon wall	3.1E-02	3.1E-02	2.9E-02	2.9E-02	4.4E-02	4.3E-02	7.4E-02	7.1E-02	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	
Endosteum											
(bone surface)	2.1E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.8E-02	6.5E-02	6.5E-02	8.7E-02	8.6E-02	1.3E-01	1.3E-01	
ET region	1.3E-02	1.8E-02	4.2E-02	4.2E-02	5.8E-02	5.8E-02	7.1E-02	7.1E-02	1.1E-01	1.1E-01	
Gall bladder	1 18 01	1 28 01	1 18 01	1 28 01	1.58.01	1.58.01	2.072.01	2.08.01	2 20 01	2 20 01	
Wall	5.7E-01	1.2E-01	1.1E-01	7.000.00	1.02-01	1.0E-01	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	
riean wall	3.7E-02	7.0E-02	0.4E-02	7.8E-02	1.2E-01	1.4E-01	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	3.1E-01	3.1E-01	
Linnex:	4.2E-02	3.2E-02	3.1E-02	3.4E-02	2.22-02	2.0E-02	9.8E-02	9.8E-02	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	
LUXCEL	1.7E-01	2.1E-01 5.0E-02	2.2E-01	2.4E-01	0.0E-01	3.3E-01	4.7E-01	4.7E-01	8.1E-01	3.1E-01	
Lung	3.0E-02	0.9E-02	5.5E-02	0.3E-02	9.4E-02	9.4E-02	1.5E-01	1.4E-01	2.5E-01	2.5E-01	
nodes	02	3 6E-02	3 0E-02	3 1E-02	4 2E-02	4 2E-02	74E-02	74E-02	1 3E-01	1 3E-01	
Muscle	2.0E-02	2.4E-02	2.2E-02	2.3E-02	3.6E-02	3.6E-02	5.7E-02	5.7E-02	1 1E-01	1 1E-01	
Oesophagus	3 7E-02	4 2E-02	3 7E-02	4 5E-02	6 7E-02	6.8E-02	1 1E-01	1 1E-01	1.6E-01	1.6E-01	
Oral mucosa	1.5E-02	1.9E-02	4.3E-02	4.2E-02	5.2E-02	5.2E-02	5.9E-02	5.9E-02	8.7E-02	8.7E-02	
Ovaries		4.5E-02		7.6E-02		1.0E-01		1.5E-01		2.6E-01	
Pancreas	6.0E-02	5.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.4E-02	6.6E-02	6.6E-02	8.9E-02	8.9E-02	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	
Prostate	5.6E-02		5.9E-02		1.0E-01		1.5E-01		3.1E-01		
Salivary glands	4.8E-02	6.0E-02	8.7E-02	8.3E-02	1.1E-01	1.1E-01	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	1.9E-01	
Skin	1.3E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.8E-02	2.7E-02	2.7E-02	4.4E-02	4.5E-02	8.4E-02	8.4E-02	
Small intestine											
wall	3.0E-02	3.9E-02	3.0E-02	3.5E-02	4.3E-02	4.5E-02	7.6E-02	8.0E-02	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	
Spleen	5.8E-02	7.1E-02	5.8E-02	6.8E-02	9.7E-02	9.7E-02	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	2.8E-01	2.8E-01	
Stomach wall	4.4E-02	5.4E-02	4.1E-02	4.8E-02	7.1E-02	7.2E-02	9.6E-02	9.7E-02	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	
Testes	1.8E-02		3.4E-02		4.0E-02		7.4E-02		1.1E-01		
Thymus	2.4E-02	2.9E-02	3.2E-02	3.4E-02	5.4E-02	5.4E-02	8.1E-02	8.1E-02	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	
Thyroid	1.9E-02	2.3E-02	2.9E-02	2.9E-02	4.2E-02	4.2E-02	7.4E-02	7.4E-02	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	
Urinary bladder										_	
wall	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.4E-01	1.5E-01	1.9E-01	1.9E-01	2.8E-01	2.8E-01	7.2E-01	7.4E-01	
Uterus/cervix		6.3E-02		9.0E-02		1.3E-01		1.8E-01		5.6E-01	
Effective dose [mSv/MBa]	4.7E-02 5.2E-02		7.71	E-02	1.11	E-01	2.1E-01				

Detriment-weighted radiation dose to specific patient groups*: (mSv MBq⁻¹) Patient group 1 DOSE Patient group 2 DOSE



QA of biokinetic and dosimetric calculations

- For all radiopharmaceuticals the same biokinetic and dosimetric code will be used, and presented in different Dose reports
- The biokinetic solver will be compared mainly with BfS (SAAMII)
- For the QA simplified assumptions will be used to be able to perform comparison with codes use in the OIR/EIR-series

Chapter 4. QA on Time integrated activity coefficients (TIAC)

For Quality Assurance (QA) the time integrated activity coefficients (TIAC) were compared with other biokinetic calulations from CVUT, IRSN and BfS (SAAM). All calulations used the same model perdiction. The results are shown in table below.

Table 4.1 Organ specific time integrated activity coefficients in MBq-h/MBq.

ORGAN	ICRP (IDAC)	CVUT	IRSN	BfS (SAAM)	% Diff	CVUT/ICRP	IRSN/ICRP	BfS/ICRP
Blood	2.78e-01	2.79e-01	2.79e-01	2.78e-01		0.36 %	0.36 %	0.00 %
Brain	1.82e-01	1.82e-01	1.82e-01	1.82e-01		0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lungs	8.25e-03	8.26e-03	8.25e-03	8.25e-03		0.12 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Liver	7.23e-02	7.24e-02	7.23e-02	7.23e-02		0.14 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Heart wall	1.32e-01	1.32e-01	1.32e-01	1.32e-01		0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Kidneys	2.63e-02	2.64e-02	2.64e-02	2.64e-02		0.38 %	0.38 %	0.38 %
Pancreas	6.44e-03	6.45e-03	6.45e-03	6.44e-03		0.16 %	0.16 %	0.00 %
Spleen	6.60e-03	6.61e-03	6.61e-03	6.60e-03		0.15 %	0.15 %	0.00 %
UBC (No voding)	6.40e-01			6.41e-01		N/A	N/A	0.16 %
Other	1.28e+00	1.29e+00	1.29e+00	1.29e+00		0.78 %	0.78 %	0.78 %

Dose report on the validation of biokinetic for ¹⁸F-FDG



QA of dosimetric of ¹⁸F-FDG

Absorbed dose reports were validated against HMGU (Helmholtz Zentrum München) tool and ORNL (Oak Ridge National Laboratory) dosimetry code QCAL

Chapter 5. QA Dose Report with Nuclear dosimetry tool (HMGU)

For Quality Assurance (QA) the calculated absorbed doses were compared with Nuclear dosimetry tool (HMGU). The results are shown in table below. The target regions in the table is named after the nomenclature given in the HMGU tool. The results are given with

two significant values and the percentage on the provided data. In brackets are also significant values (to avoid possible round

Table 5. Absorbed dose per unit activity ad

	Dose report	NMT
Organs [mGy/Mbq]	Male	Male
O-mucosa	8.8E-03	8.8E-03
Oesophagus	1.5E-02	1.5E-02
St-stem	1.2E-02	1.2E-02
SI-stem	1.3E-02	1.3E-02
RC-stem	1.1E-02	1.1E-02
LC-stem	9.8E-03	9.8E-03
RS-stem	1.9E-02	1.9E-02
ET1_bas	4.6E-03	4 6E-03

Chapter 6. QA Dose Report with ORNL CRPK dosimetry code QCAL

QA with Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Center for Radiation Protection Knowledge (CRPK) dosimetry code QCAL. The QA are both on the biokinetic and dosimetric part. The calulated time integrated activity coefficients are shown in table 6.a and the corresponding absorbed doses are shown in figure 6.b. The results are given with two significant values and the percentage differences is calculated based on the based on the provided data. In brackets are also the percentage differences calculated with four significant values (to avoid possible rounding difference).

Table 6.b. Absorbed dose per unit activity administered (mGy/MBq)

	Dose report	QCAL	Difference [%]	Dose report	QCAL	Difference [%]
Organs [mGy/Mbq]	Male	Male	AM Diff	Female	Female	AF Diff
O-mucosa	8.8E-03	8.8E-03	0 % (0.1%)	9.9E-03	1.0E-02	1 % (0.1%)
Oesophagus	1.5E-02	1.5E-02	0 % (0.1%)	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	0 % (0.1%)
St-stem	1.2E-02	1.2E-02	0 % (0.1%)	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	0 % (0.1%)
SI-stem	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	0 % (0.1%)	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	0 % (0.1%)
RC-stem	1.1E-02	1.1E-02	0 % (0.1%)	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	0 % (0.1%)
LC-stem	9.8E-03	9.8E-03	0 % (0.1%)	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	0 % (0.1%)
RS-stem	1.9E-02	1.9E-02	0 % (0.1%)	2.1E-02	2.1E-02	0 % (0.1%)
ET1-bas	4.6E-03	4.6E-03	0 % (0.1%)	6.2E-03	6.2E-03	0 % (0.1%)
ET2-bas	7.6E-03	7.6E-03	0 % (0.1%)	8.5E-03	8.5E-03	0 % (0.1%)
LN-ET	8.4E-03	8.4E-03	0 % (0.1%)	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	0 % (0.1%)
			1	1		



QA for ¹⁸F-FDG (15-, 10- and 5-yrs)

E L B B

- TG36 works are dependent on the ICRP SAF Publ. Paediatric Specific Absorbed Fractions (SAFs)
- TG36 conducted QA for the preadults, after completed the QA for Adults first

		Dose report	QCAL I	Difference [%	J Dose	report	QCAI		ofference [%	1		
rgans [mGy/Mbq]		Male	Male /	AM Diff	Fem	ale	Femal	le A	F Diff			
-mucosa		2.0E-02	2.0E-02) % (0.1%)	2.0E	-02	2.0E-02 0		% (0.1%)			
esophagus		1.6E-02	1.6E-02	0 % (0.1%) 1.6E		-02	1.6E-02 0		% (0.1%)			
t-stem		112.00	1 18 00 1	A / /A 14/S	1 27	<u>^</u>	1 27 (<u>- </u>	A/ /A 1A/S			
I-stem Tab	ole (5.d. 10-yrs	. Absort	ped dose p	er unit	activi	ty adı	mini	stered (m	Gy/MBo	a)	
C-stem				Dose report	QCAL	Diffe	rence [%]	Dose report	QCAL	Difference [[%]
C-stem	Org	ans [mGy/M	եզ]	Male	Male	AM I	Diff		Female	Female	AF Diff	
S-stem	O-n	nucosa		2.7E-02	2.7E-02	0%(0.1%)		2.7E-02	2.7E-02	2 0 % (0.1%)	
Tl-bas	Oes	ophagus		2.5E-02	2.5E-02	0%(0.1%)		2.5E-02	2.5E-02	0 % (0.1%)	
T2-bas	St-s	tem		1.8E-02	1.8E-02	0%(0.1%)		1.8E-02	1.8E-02	3E-02 0 % (0.1%)	
N-ET	SI-s	SI-stem		1.8E-02	1.8E-02	02 0 % (0.1%)			1.8E-02	1.8E-02	0 % (0.1%)	
ronch-bas	RC	-stem	Table 6.e	. 5-yrs. Ab	sorbed	dose	e per i	unit	activity ad	dminist	ered (mG	//MBq
ronch-sec	LC-	stem		,		Dose r	eport (OCAI	L Differen	ce [%]	Dose report	OCAL
chiol-sec	RS-	stem	Organ	s [mGv/Mba]		Male	-pont	Male	AM Diff	со [, о] С	Female	Female
	ETI	l-bas	O-mu	osa		3 3E-0	2 3	3 3F-0	02 0 % (0 1	%)	3 3E-02	3 3E-0
	ET2	2-bas	Oeson	hamis		4.0E-0	2 1	1.0E-	02 0% (0.1)	0%)	4.0E_02	4.0E-0
	LN	-ET	Stata	nagus		2 1E 0	2 7 2 2	2 1 1 2	02 0 70 (0.1)	70) 04)	2 1E 02	2.1E.0
	Bro	nch-bas	St-ster			3.1E-0	$\frac{2}{2}$	0.1E-	02 0% (0.1)	0 % (0.1%)		3.1E-0.
	Bro	nch-sec	SI-ster	n 		3.3E-0	2 3	0.3E-	02 0% (0.1)	%) 0()	3.4E-02	3.4E-0.
	Bch	iiol-sec	KC-ste	m		3./E-0	2 3	5./E-	02 0 % (0.1	%) 2()	3.8E-02	3.8E-0.
I	ΛT		LC-ste	m		2.8E-0	2 2	2.8E-0	02 0 % (0.1	%)	2.9E-02	2.9E-0
			RS-ste	m		4.8E-0	2 4	4.8E-(02 0 % (0.1	%)	3.9E-02	3.9E-0
			ET1-b	as		3.6E-0	2 3	3.6E-	02 0% (0.1	%)	3.6E-02	3.6E-0
			ET2-b	as		2.9E-0	2 2	2.9E-	02 0 % (0.1	%)	2.9E-02	2.9E-0
			LN-E	Г		3 6E-0	2 3	3 6E-0	$02 \mid 0 \% (0 \mid 1)$	%)	3 6E-02	3.6E-0

.....

Difference [%]

AF Diff 0 % (0.1%)

0 % (0.1%) 0 % (0.1%)

0%(0.1%)0%(0.1%)

0 % (0.1%) 0 % (0.1%) 0 % (0.1%) 0 % (0.1%) 0 % (0.1%)

Table 6.c. 15-yrs. Absorbed dose per unit activity administered (mGy/MBq)



Dose report on the validation of absorbed dose for ¹⁸F-FDG

Special cases

- Dose coefficients were not necessarily calculated for all radiopharmaceuticals for all age groups/sexes, depending on the foreseen application. E.g. Substances administered for Parkinson's or Alzheimer's diagnostics, or PSMA (Prostata specific membrane antigen) → BE AWARE FOR NEW APPLICATIONS!
- Detriment-weighted effective dose be calculated if patients of one sex only are involved, or for pathological situations with anatomical changes with respect to reference:
 - thyroid after ablation;
 - liver/spleen for diffuse parenchymal disease;
 - o unilateral kidney blockage.



Dynamic data from University of Bern





FOV with 106 cm

New TG-36's member Kuangyu Shi, University of Bern, Switzerland has a PET camera with a FOV with 106 cm

> Images from <u>www.siemens-healthineers.com</u> - A quantum leap in PET/CT imaging, Clinicians and researcher at Inselspital Bern University hospital share experience with Biograph Vision Quadra

Dynamic collection of data

- Dynamic collection of data and automatic segmentation of organs
- Data transfer agreement between Univ. of Bern and ICRP
- Good agreement between data and new model proposed by ICRP TG (Here: brain as an example)



Model prediction for brain for ¹⁸F-FDG with new dynamic patient data



CONTENTS

(GUEST) EDITORIAL	3
ABSTRACT	5
MAIN POINTS	.6
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	.7
1. INTRODUCTION	9
2. SELECTION OF RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS	21
3. METHODS FOR CALCULATING DOSE COEFFICIENTS	22
3.1.Absorbed doses	22
3.2.Effective dose	23
3.2.1. Use of effective dose in nuclear medicine	24
4. BIOKINETIC MODELS	26
4.1.Biokinetic data	26
4.2.Biokinetic models	26
4.3.Model for very short-lived radionuclides	27
4.4. Models for radiopharmaceuticals administered orally or by inhalation.	28
4.5.Dynamic bladder model	30
4.6.Other specific models	31
5. DOSIMETRIC MODELS	32
6. DATA PROVIDED IN THIS REPORT	34
7. GENERAL ASPECTS OF INTERNAL DOSE ASSESSMENT	35
7.1.Introduction	35
7.2.Uncertainties in internal dose assessment	35
7.2.1. Uncertainties in biokinetic models	35
7.2.2. Uncertainties in dosimetric models	38
8. DOSE TO EMBRYO AND FETUS	40
9. RECOMMENDATIONS ON BREASTFEEDING INTERRUPTIONS	42
10. RECOMMENDATIONS IN CASE OF EXTRAVASATION	45



ICRP Dose viewer app

The app has been released and is available on:

- Google Play (Android)
- App Store (IPhone)

• Currently working on including data from TG113





Possible view on updated main page for Dose viewer with data of TG113

Summary

- TG36 is finalizing the new report, revision of Publication 128.
- This report gives age-dependent dose coefficients for patients undergoing diagnostic investigations in nuclear medicine. This document replaces Publication 128 and all related documents.
- As in the previous Publications, dose coefficients are presented in this report for 3-mo-old infants, 1-, 5-, 10-, and 15-y-old children, and adults.
- The data provided in the report are tables of organ absorbed doses per activity administered (mGy MBq-1), given separately for male and female, and effective dose per activity administered (mSv MBq-1) for each age group.



www.icrp.org

